

# Algebraic Differential Characters of Flat Connections with Nilpotent Residues

Hélène Esnault

**Abstract** We construct unramified algebraic differential characters for flat connections with nilpotent residues along a strict normal crossings divisor.

## 1 Introduction

In [1], Chern and Simons defined classes  $\hat{c}_n((E, \nabla)) \in H^{2n-1}(X, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}(n))$  for  $n \geq 1$  and a flat bundle  $(E, \nabla)$  on a  $C^\infty$  manifold  $X$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}(n) := \mathbb{Z} \cdot (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^n$ . Cheeger and Simons defined in [2] the group of real  $C^\infty$  differential characters  $\hat{H}^{2n-1}(X, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ , which is an extension of global  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued  $2n$ -closed forms with  $\mathbb{Z}(n)$ -periods by  $H^{2n-1}(X, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}(n))$ . They show that the Chern–Simons classes extend to classes  $\hat{c}_n((E, \nabla)) \in \hat{H}^{2n-1}(X, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ , if  $\nabla$  is a (not necessarily flat) connection, such that the associated differential form is the Chern form computing the  $n$ th Chern class associated to the curvature of  $\nabla$ .

If  $X$  now is a complex manifold, and  $(E, \nabla)$  is a bundle with an algebraic connection, Chern–Simons and Cheeger–Simons invariants give classes  $\hat{c}_n((E, \nabla)) \in \hat{H}^{2n-1}(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z})$  with a similar definition of complex  $C^\infty$  differential characters. Those classes have been studied by various authors, and most remarkably, it was shown by Reznikov that if  $X$  is projective and  $(E, \nabla)$  is flat, then the classes  $\hat{c}_n((E, \nabla))$  are torsion, for  $n \geq 2$ . This answered positively a conjecture by Bloch [3], which echoed a similar conjecture by Cheeger–Simons in the  $C^\infty$  category [2, 4].

On the other hand, for  $X$  a smooth complex algebraic variety, we defined in [5] the group  $AD^n(X)$  of algebraic differential characters. It is easily written as the hypercohomology group  $\mathbb{H}^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n \xrightarrow{d \log} \Omega_X^n \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_X^{n+1} \rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_X^{2n-1})$ , where  $\mathcal{K}_n$  is the Zariski sheaf of Milnor  $K$ -theory which is unramified in codimension 1.

---

H. Esnault  
Universität Duisburg-Essen, Mathematik, 45117 Essen, Germany  
e-mail: esnault@uni-due.de

It has the property that it maps to the Chow group  $CH^n(X)$ , to algebraic closed 27  
 $2n$ -forms which have  $\mathbb{Z}(n)$ -periods, and to the complex  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  differential characters 28  
 $\hat{H}^{2n-1}(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z})$ . If  $(E, \nabla)$  is a bundle with an algebraic connection, it has classes 29  
 $c_n((E, \nabla)) \in AD^n(X)$  which lift both the Chern classes of  $E$  in  $CH^n(X)$  and 30  
 $\hat{c}_n((E, \nabla))$ . All those constructions are contravariant in  $(X, (E, \nabla))$ , the differen- 31  
 tial characters have an algebra structure, and the classes fulfill the Whitney product 32  
 formula. They admit a logarithmic version: if  $j : U \rightarrow X$  is a (partial) smooth 33  
 compactification of  $U$  such that  $D := X \setminus U$  is a strict normal crossings divisor, one 34  
 defines the group  $AD^n(X, D) = \mathbb{H}^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n \xrightarrow{d \log} \Omega_X^n(\log D) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_X^{n+1}(\log D) \rightarrow$  35  
 $\dots \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_X^{2n-1}(\log D))$ . Obviously one has maps  $AD^n(X) \rightarrow AD^i(X, D) \rightarrow$  36  
 $AD^n(U)$ . The point is that if  $(E, \nabla)$  extends a pole free connection  $(E, \nabla)|_U$  to 37  
 a connection on  $X$  with logarithmic poles along  $D$ , then  $c_n((E, \nabla)|_U) \in AD^n(U)$  38  
 lifts to well defined classes  $c_n((E, \nabla)) \in AD^n(X, D)$  with the same functoriality 39  
 and additivity properties. 40

If  $X$  is a smooth algebraic variety defined over a characteristic 0 field, 41  
 and  $X \supset U$  is a smooth (partial) compactification of  $U$ , it is computed in [6, 42  
 Appendix B] that one can express the Atiyah class [7] of a bundle extension  $E$  43  
 of  $E|_U$  in terms the residues of the extension  $\nabla$  of  $\nabla|_U$  along  $D = X \setminus U$ . In partic- 44  
 ular, if  $X$  is projective,  $\nabla$  has logarithmic poles along  $D$  and has nilpotent residues, 45  
 one obtains that the de Rham Chern classes of  $E$  are zero. If  $k = \mathbb{C}$ , this implies that 46  
 the (analytic) Chern classes of  $E$  in Deligne–Beilinson cohomology  $H_D^{2n}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n))$  47  
 lie in the continuous part  $H^{2n-1}(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(n))/F^n \subset H_D^{2n}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ . 48

The purpose of this note is to show that this lifting property is in fact stronger. 49

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X \supset U$  be a smooth (partial) compactification of a complex 50  
 variety  $U$ , such that  $D = \sum_j D_j = X \setminus U$  is a strict normal crossings divi- 51  
 sor. Let  $(E, \nabla)$  be a flat connection with logarithmic poles along  $D$  such that its 52  
 residues  $\Gamma_j$  along  $D_j$  are all nilpotent. Then the classes  $c_n((E, \nabla)) \in AD^n(X, D)$  53  
 lift to well defined classes  $c_n((E, \nabla, \Gamma)) \in AD^n(X)$ , which satisfy the Whitney 54  
 product formula. More precisely, the classes  $c_n((E, \nabla, \Gamma))$  lie in the subgroup 55  
 $AD_\infty^n(X) = \mathbb{H}^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n \xrightarrow{d \log} \Omega_X^n \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_X^{n+1} \rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_X^{\dim(X)}) \subset AD^n(X)$  of 56  
 classes mapping to 0 in  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^{2n})$ . 57*

They also fulfill some functoriality property, and one can express what their restric- 58  
 tion to the various strata of  $D$  precisely are. 59

Let us denote by  $\hat{c}_n((E, \nabla, \Gamma))$  the image of  $c_n((E, \nabla, \Gamma))$  via the regulator map 60  
 $AD^n(X) \rightarrow \hat{H}^{2n-1}(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z})$  defined in [5] and [8], which restricts to a regula- 61  
 tor map  $AD_\infty^n(X) \rightarrow H^{2n-1}(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(n))$ . As an immediate consequence, one 62  
 obtains the following: 63

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $(X, (E, \nabla, \Gamma))$  be as in the theorem. Then the Cheeger–Chern– 64  
 Simons classes  $\hat{c}_n((E, \nabla)|_U) \in H^{2n-1}(U_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(n)) \subset \hat{H}^{2n-1}(U_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z})$  lift to 65  
 well defined classes  $\hat{c}_n((E, \nabla, \Gamma)) \in H^{2n-1}(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(n)) \subset \hat{H}^{2n-1}(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z})$ , 66  
 with the same properties. 67*

A direct  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  construction of  $\hat{c}_n((E, \nabla, \Gamma)) \in H^{2n-1}(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(n))$  in the spirit of 68  
 Cheeger–Chern–Simons has been performed by Deligne and is written in a letter 69

of Deligne to the authors of [9]. It consists in modifying the given connection  $\nabla$  by a  $C^\infty$  one form with values in  $\mathcal{E}nd(E)$ , so as to obtain a (possibly non-flat) connection without residues along  $D$ . This modified connection admits classes in  $H^{2n-1}(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(n)) \subset \hat{H}^{2n-1}(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z})$ . That they do not depend on the choice of the one form relies essentially on the argument showing that if  $\nabla$  is flat with logarithmic poles along  $D$  (and without further conditions on the residues), for  $n \geq 2$ , the image of  $c_n((E, \nabla))$  in  $H^0(U, \mathcal{H}_{DR}^{2n-1})$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_{DR}^j$  is the Zariski sheaf of  $j$ -th de Rham cohomology, in fact lies in the unramified cohomology  $H^0(X, \mathcal{H}_{DR}^{2n-1}) \subset H^0(U, \mathcal{H}_{DR}^{2n-1})$ . For this, see [10, Theorem 6.1.1]. In the case when  $D$  is smooth, Iyer and Simpson constructed the  $C^\infty$  classes  $\hat{c}_n((E, \nabla, \Gamma)) \in H^{2n-1}(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(n))$  using the existence of the  $C^\infty$  trivialization of the canonical extension after an étale cover, a fact written by Deligne in a letter, together with Deligne's suggestion of considering patched connection. They then show that Reznikov's argument and theorem [11] adapts to those classes. Our note is motivated by the question raised in [9] on the construction in the general case.

Our algebraic construction in Theorem 1 relies on the modified splitting principle developed in [5, 8, 12] in order to define the classes in  $AD^n(X, D)$ . Let  $q : Q \rightarrow X$  be the complete flag bundle of  $E$ . A flat connection on  $E$  with logarithmic poles along  $D$  defines a map of differential graded algebras  $\tau : \Omega_Q^\bullet(\log q^{-1}(D)) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^\bullet$  where  $\mathcal{K}^i = q^*\Omega_X^i(\log D)$  and  $Rq_*\mathcal{K}^\bullet = \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)$ . This defines a partial flat connection  $\tau \circ q^*\nabla : q^*E \rightarrow q^*\Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Q} q^*E$  which has the property that it stabilizes all the rank one subquotients of  $q^*E$ . On the other hand, the nilpotency of  $\Gamma$  allows to filter the restriction  $E|_\Sigma$  to the different strata  $\Sigma$  of  $D$ , in such a way that the restriction  $\nabla|_\Sigma : E|_\Sigma \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(\log D)|_\Sigma \otimes E|_\Sigma$  of the connection stabilizes the filtration  $F_\Sigma^\bullet$ , and has the following important extra property: the induced flat connection  $\nabla|_\Sigma$  on  $gr(F_\Sigma^\bullet)$  has values in  $\Omega_\Sigma^1(\log \text{rest})$ , where  $\text{rest}$  is the intersection with  $\Sigma$  of the part of  $D$  which is transversal to  $\Sigma$ . This fact translates into a sort of stratification of the flag bundle  $Q$ , where  $\tau$  is refined on this stratification and has values in the pull back of  $\Omega_\Sigma^1(\log \text{rest})$ . Modulo some geometry in  $Q$ , the next observation consists in expressing the sections  $\alpha \in \Omega_X^i$  of forms without poles as pairs  $\alpha = (\beta \oplus \gamma) \in \Omega_X^i(\log D) \oplus \Omega_D^i$  such that  $\beta|_D = \gamma$ , where  $\Omega_D^i = \Omega_X^i / \Omega_X^i(\log D)(-D) \subset \Omega_X^i(\log D)|_D$ . This yields a complex receiving quasi-isomorphically  $\Omega_X^{\geq i}$ , which is convenient to define the wished classes.

## 2 Filtrations

104

Let  $X$  be a smooth variety defined over a characteristic 0 field  $k$ . Let  $D \subset X$  be a strict normal crossings divisor (i.e., the irreducible components are smooth over  $k$ ), and let  $(E, \nabla)$  be a connection  $\nabla : E \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes E$  with residue  $\Gamma$  defined by the composition

108

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & \Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} E \\
 & \searrow \Gamma & \downarrow 1 \otimes \text{res} \\
 & & v_* \mathcal{O}_{D^{(1)}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} E
 \end{array} \quad (1)$$

where  $D^{(1)} = \sqcup_j D_j$ . The composition of  $\Gamma$  with the projection  $v_* \mathcal{O}_{D^{(1)}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_j}$  109  
 defines  $\Gamma_j : E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_j} \otimes E$  which factors through  $\Gamma_j \in \text{End}(\mathcal{O}_{D_j} \otimes E)$ . We write 110

$$\Gamma \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} E, v_* \mathcal{O}_{D^{(1)}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} E). \quad (2)$$

Recall that if  $\nabla$  is integrable, then 111

$$[\Gamma_i|_{D_{ij}}, \Gamma_j|_{D_{ij}}] = 0. \quad (3)$$

We use the notation  $D_I = D_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap D_{i_r}$  if  $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ ,  $D = D^I + \sum_{s \in I} D_s$  112  
 with  $D^I = \sum_{\ell \notin I} D_\ell$ . The connection  $\nabla : E \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes E$  stabilizes 113  
 $E(-D_j)$ , but also  $E \otimes \mathcal{I}_{D_j}$ , as the Kähler differential on  $\mathcal{O}_X$  restricts to a flat 114  
 $\Omega_X^1(\log(\sum_{s \in I} D_s))$ -connection on  $\mathcal{I}_{D_I}$ . Thus  $\nabla$  induces a flat connection 115

$$\nabla_I : E|_{D_I} \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(\log D)|_{D_I} \otimes E|_{D_I}. \quad (4)$$

One has the diagram 116

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \Omega_{D_j}^1(\log(D^j \cap D_j)) \otimes E & & \Omega_{D_j}^2(\log(D^j \cap D_j)) \otimes E & (5) \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 E|_{D_j} & \xrightarrow{\nabla_j} & \Omega_X^1(\log D)|_{D_j} \otimes E & \xrightarrow{\nabla_j} & \Omega_X^2(\log D)|_{D_j} \otimes E \\
 & \searrow \Gamma_j & \downarrow \text{res} & \searrow 1 \otimes_j & \downarrow \text{res} \\
 & & E|_{D_j} & & \Omega_{D_j}^1(\log(D^j \cap D_j)) \otimes E
 \end{array}$$

We define  $F_j^1 = \text{Ker}(\Gamma_j) \subset E|_{D_j}$ . It is a coherent subsheaf.  $\nabla_j$  sends  $F_j^1$  to 117  
 $\Omega_{D_j}^1(\log D^j \cap D_j) \otimes E$ , but because of integrability, the diagram (3) shows that 118  
 $\nabla_{D_j}$  induces a flat connection  $F_j^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{D_j}^1(\log D^j \cap D_j) \otimes F_j^1$ . 119

*Claim 1.*  $F_j^1 \subset E|_{D_j}$  is a subbundle. 120

*Proof.* We use Deligne's Riemann–Hilbert correspondence [13]: the data are defined 121  
 over a field of finite type  $k_0$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , so embeddable in  $\mathbb{C}$ , and the question is compat- 122  
 ible with the base changes  $\otimes_{k_0} k$  and  $\otimes_k \mathbb{C}$ . So it is enough to consider the question 123  
 for the underlying analytic connection on a polydisk  $(\Delta^*)^r \times \Delta^s$  with coordinates 124  
 $x_j$ , where  $D_j$  is defined by  $x_j = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq r$ . By the Riemann–Hilbert cor- 125  
 respondence, the argument given in [13, p. 86] shows that the analytic connection 126

is isomorphic to  $(V \otimes \mathcal{O}, \sum_1^r \Gamma_j^0 \frac{dx_i}{x_i})$ , where the matrices  $\Gamma_j^0$  are constant nilpotent. 127  
 Thus  $F_j^1$  is isomorphic to  $F_j^1(V) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D_j}$  on the polydisk, with  $F_j^1(V) := \text{Ker}(\Gamma_j^0)$ , 128  
 thus is a subbundle.  $\square$  129

We can replace  $E|_{D_j}$  by  $E|_{D_j}/F_j^1$  in 4 and redo the construction. This defines by 130  
 pull back  $F_j^2 \subset E|_{D_j} \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\Gamma_j : E|_{D_j}/F_j^1 \rightarrow E|_{D_j}/F_j^1)$  with  $F_j^2 \supset F_j^1$  etc. 131

*Claim 2.*  $F_j^\bullet : F_j^0 = 0 \subset F_j^1 \subset \dots \subset F_j^i \subset \dots \subset F_j^{r_j} = E|_{D_j}$  is a filtration 132  
 by subbundles with a flat  $\Omega_X^1(\log D)|_{D_j}$ -valued connection, such that the induced 133  
 connection  $\nabla_j$  on  $gr(F_j^\bullet)$  is flat and  $\Omega_{D_j}^1(\log D^J \cap D_j)$ -valued. (One can also 134  
 tautologically say that  $F_j^\bullet$  refines the (trivial) filtration on  $E|_{D_j}$ ). 135

*Proof.* By construction, the flat  $\Omega_X^1(\log D)|_{D_j}$ -valued connection  $\nabla_j$  on  $E|_{D_j}$  136  
 respects the filtration and induces a flat  $\Omega_{D_j}^1(\log D^J \cap D_j)$ -connection on  $gr(F_j^\bullet)$ . 137  
 We use the transcendental argument to show that this is a filtration by subbundles. 138  
 With the notations as in the proof of the Claim 1,  $F_j^s$  is analytically isomorphic 139  
 to  $F_j^s(V) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D_j}$ , where  $F_j^1(V) \subset F_j^2(V) \subset \dots \subset V$  is the filtration on  $V$  140  
 defined by the successive kernels of  $\Gamma_j^0$ , so  $F_j^2(V)$  is the inverse image of  $\text{Ker}(\Gamma_j^0)$  141  
 on  $V/F_j^1(V)$ , etc.  $\square$  142

The argument which allows us to construct  $F_j^\bullet$  can in be used to define successive 143  
 refinements on all  $E|_{D_I}$ . We consider now the case  $|I| = r \geq 2$ . We refine the 144  
 filtrations  $F_j^\bullet|_{D_I}$ , which have been constructed inductively, where  $J \subset I, |J| < r$ . 145  
 In fact, we do the construction directly on  $E|_{D_I}$ . We have  $r$  linear maps induced 146  
 by  $\Gamma_j$  147

$$\Gamma_j|_{D_I} : E|_{D_I} \xrightarrow{\nabla_I} \Omega_X^1(\log(D))|_{D_I} \otimes E|_{D_I} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_j} \otimes E|_{D_I} = E_{D_I} \quad (6)$$

We define 148

$$F_I^1 = \bigcap_{j \in I} \text{Ker}(\Gamma_j|_{D_I}) = \bigcap_{j \in I} F_j^1|_{D_I}. \quad (7)$$

*Claim 3.*  $F_I^1 \subset E|_{D_I}$  is a subbundle, stabilized by the connection  $\nabla_I$ , and more 149  
 precisely one has  $\nabla_I : F_I^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{D_I}^1(\log(D^I \cap D_I)) \otimes F_I^1$ . 150

*Proof.* We argue analytically as in the proof of Claim 1. With notations as there, the 151  
 analytic  $F_I^1$  isomorphic to  $F_I^1(V) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D_I}$ .  $\square$  152

Thus  $\nabla_I$  induces a flat  $\Omega_X^1(\log D)|_{D_I}$ -valued connection on the quotient  $E|_{D_I}/F_I^1$ . 153  
 We define  $F_I^2 \supset F_I^1$  in  $E|_{D_I}$  to be the inverse image via the projection  $E|_{D_I} \rightarrow$  154  
 $E|_{D_I}/F_I^1$  of  $\bigcap_{j \in I} \text{Ker}(\Gamma_j|_{D_I})$ , etc. 155

*Claim 4.* The filtration  $F_I^\bullet : F_I^0 = 0 \subset F_I^1 \subset F_I^2 \subset \dots \subset F_I^{r_I} = E|_{D_I}$  156  
 is a filtration by subbundles, stabilized by  $\nabla_I$ , such that  $\nabla_I$  on  $gr(F_I^\bullet)$  is a flat 157  
 $\Omega_{D_I}^1(\log(D^I \cap D_I))$ -valued connection. Furthermore,  $F_I^\bullet$  refines all  $F_j^\bullet|_{D_I}$  for all 158  
 $J \subset I, |J| < r$  and one has compatibility of the refinements in the sense that if 159

$K \subset J \subset I$ , then the refinement  $F_I^\bullet$  of  $F_K^\bullet|_{D_I}$  is the composition of the refinements 160  
 $F_I^\bullet$  of  $F_J^\bullet|_{D_I}$  and  $F_J^\bullet$  of  $F_K^\bullet|_{D_I}$ . 161

*Proof.* We argue again analytically. Then  $F_I^s$  is isomorphic to  $F_I^s(V) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D_I}$  with 162  
 the same definition. The filtration terminates as finitely many mutually commut- 163  
 ing nilpotent endomorphisms on a finite dimensional vector space always have a 164  
 common eigenvector.  $\square$  165

**Definition 3.** We call  $F_I^\bullet$  the canonical filtration of  $E|_{D_I}$  associated to  $\nabla$ , which 166  
 defines  $(gr(F_I^\bullet), \nabla_I, \Gamma_I)$  where  $\nabla_I$  is the flat  $\Omega_I^1(\log(D^I \cap D_I))$ -valued connection 167  
 on  $gr(F_I^\bullet)$ , and  $\Gamma_I$  is its nilpotent residue along the normalization of  $D^I \cap D_I$ . 168

*Proof.* 169

Au: Please  
 check if  
 "Proof" could  
 be deleted or  
 provide  
 appropriate  
 text.

### 3 $\tau$ -Splittings 170

We first define flag bundles. We set  $q_I : Q_I \rightarrow D_I$  to be the total flag bundle 171  
 associated to  $E|_{D_I}$ . So the pull back of  $E|_{D_I}$  to  $Q_I$  has a filtration by subbundles 172  
 such that the associated graded bundle is a sum of rank one bundles  $\xi_I^s$  for  $s =$  173  
 $1, \dots, N = \text{rank}(E)$  (It is here understood that  $D_\emptyset = X$ , and to simplify, we set 174  
 $q = q_\emptyset : Q \rightarrow X, Q_\emptyset = Q$ ). For  $J \subset I$ , the inclusion  $D_I \rightarrow D_J$  defines 175  
 inclusions  $i(J \subset I) : Q_I \rightarrow Q_J$ . The canonical filtrations associated to  $\nabla$  allow 176  
 to define partial sections of the  $q_I$ . As an illustration, let us assume that  $I = \{1\}$ , 177  
 thus  $D$  is smooth, and that  $F_1^\bullet$  is a total flag, i.e., the  $gr(F_1^\bullet)$  is a sum of rank one 178  
 bundles. Then  $F_1^\bullet$  defines a section  $D \xrightarrow{\lambda_1^F} Q$ . 179

More generally, let us define  $G_I^s = F_I^s / F_I^{s-1}$ . We define 180

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q_I & \xleftarrow{\lambda_I^F} & Q_I^F \\ q_I \downarrow & \swarrow q_I^F & \\ D_I & & \end{array} \quad (1)$$

using the filtration; recall that  $Q_I \rightarrow D_I$  is the composition of  $\mathbb{P}(E|_{D_I}) \rightarrow D_I$  181  
 with  $\mathbb{P}(E') \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(E|_{D_I})$  etc., where  $E' \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)} \otimes E$  is the rank  $(N - 1)$  sub- 182  
 bundle defined as the kernel to the rank 1 canonical rank 1 bundle  $\xi_I^N(\mathbb{P}(E|_{D_I}))$ , 183  
 the pull back of which to  $Q_I$  defines the last graded rank 1 quotient. Then the quo- 184  
 tient  $E|_{D_I} \rightarrow G_I^{r_I}$  defines a map  $\mathbb{P}(E|_{D_I}) \leftarrow \mathbb{P}(G_I^{r_I})$  such that the pull back of 185  
 $\xi_I^N(\mathbb{P}(E|_{D_I}))$  is  $\xi$ , where  $\xi$  is the canonical rank 1 bundle. Writing  $G' \rightarrow G_I^{r_I}$  for 186  
 the kernel, we redo the same construction for  $E', G'$  replacing  $E|_{D_I}, G_I^{r_I}$  etc. We 187  
 find this way that the flag bundle of  $G_I^{r_I}$  maps to the intermediate step between  $D_I$  188  
 and  $Q_I$  which splits the first  $M$  rank 1 bundles, where  $M$  is the rank of  $G_I^{r_I}$ . Then 189  
 we continue with the pull back of  $G_I^{r_I-1}$  to the flag bundle of  $G_I^{r_I}$ , replacing  $G_I^{r_I}$ , 190

and  $E''$  replacing  $E$ , where  $E''$  on this intermediate step is the rank  $N - M$  bundle which is not yet split. All this is very classical.

We have extra closed embeddings  $\lambda^F(I \subset J)$  which come from the refinements of the canonical filtrations, which are described in the same way: for  $J \subset I$ , one has commutative squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 Q_J^F & \xleftarrow{\lambda^F(I \subset J)} & Q_I^F & Q & \xleftarrow{\mu_I} & Q_I^F \\
 q_J^F \downarrow & & \downarrow q_I^F & q \downarrow & & \downarrow q_I^F \\
 D_J & \xleftarrow{i(I \subset J)} & D_I & X & \xleftarrow{i_I} & D_I
 \end{array} \tag{2}$$

where  $i_I = i(\emptyset \subset I)$ ,  $\mu_I = \lambda(\emptyset \subset I)$ .

Recall from [5, 8, 12] that  $\nabla$  yields a splitting  $\tau : \Omega_Q^1(\log q^{-1}(D)) \rightarrow q^* \Omega_X^1(\log D)$ , and that flatness of  $\nabla$  implies flatness of  $\tau$  in the sense that it induces a map of differential graded algebras  $(\Omega_Q^\bullet(\log q^{-1}(D)), d) \rightarrow (q^* \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D), d_\tau)$  so in particular,  $(Rq_* \Omega_X^{\geq n}(\log D), d) = (\Omega_X^{\geq n}(\log D), d)$ . Furthermore, the filtration on  $q^*(E)$  which defines the rank one subquotient  $\xi^s$  has the property that it is stabilized by  $\tau \circ q^* \nabla$ , and this defines a  $\tau$ -flat connection  $\xi^s \rightarrow q^* \Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes \xi^s$ .

The  $\tau$ -splitting is constructed first on  $\mathbb{P}(E)$ , with  $p : \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow X$ . Then  $\tau \circ \nabla$  stabilizes the beginning of the flag  $E' \subset$  pull-back of  $E$  etc. Concretely, the composition  $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}(E)/X}^1(1) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}(E)}^1 \otimes E \xrightarrow{\text{projection}} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}(E)}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$  defines the splitting. On the other hand, the flat  $\Omega_X^1(\log D)|_{D_I}$ -valued connection on  $G_I^{r_I}$  has values in  $\Omega_{D_I}^1(\log(D_I \cap D^I))$ .

When we restrict to  $\mathbb{P}(G_I^{r_I})$ , then one has a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Omega_{\mathbb{P}(E)}^1(\log p^{-1}(D)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(G_I^{r_I})} & \xrightarrow{\tau(G_I^{r_I})} & \Omega_{D_I}^1(\log(D_I \cap D^I)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(G_I^{r_I})} \\
 & \searrow \tau & \downarrow \text{inj} \\
 & & \Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(G_I^{r_I})}
 \end{array} \tag{3}$$

which defines a differential graded algebra  $(\Omega_{D_I}^\bullet(\log(D_I \cap D^I)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(G_I^{r_I})}, d_\tau)$  with total direct image on  $D_I$  being  $(\Omega_{D_I}^\bullet(\log(D_I \cap D^I)), d)$  and with the property that  $\xi$  has a flat connection with values in  $\Omega_{D_I}^1(\log(D_I \cap D^I))$ , which is compatible with the flat  $p^* \Omega_X^1(\log D)$ -connection on  $\xi^N$ . We can repeat the construction with  $D_I \rightarrow X$  replaced by  $\mathbb{P}(G_I^{r_I}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(E|_{D_I})$ , with  $E|_{D_I} \rightarrow G_I^{r_I}$  replaced by  $E' \rightarrow G'$  where  $E' = \text{Ker}(E|_{D_I} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E|_{D_I})} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1))$  and  $G' = \text{Ker}(G_I^{r_I} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1))$ . This splits the next rank 1 piece, 1 still has the splitting as in (3), and we go on till we reach the total flag bundle to  $G_I^{r_I}$ . Then we continue with the flag bundle to  $G_I^{r_I-1}$  etc. We conclude

*Claim 5.* One has a factorization

218

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mu_I^* \Omega_Q^1(\log q^{-1}(D)) & \xrightarrow{\tau_I} & (q_I^F)^* \Omega_{D_I}^1(\log(D_I \cap D^I)) \\
 & \searrow \tau & \downarrow \text{inj} \\
 & & (q_I^F)^* \Omega_X^1(\log D)|_{D_I}
 \end{array} \quad (4)$$

$\tau_I$  defines a differential graded algebra  $((q_I^F)^* \Omega_{D_I}^\bullet(\log(D_I \cap D^I)), d_\tau)$  which is 219  
 a quotient of  $\mu_I^*(\Omega_Q^\bullet(\log q^{-1}(D)), d)$ . The flat  $(q_I^F)^* \Omega_X^1(\log D)$ -valued  $\tau$ -connection 220  
 on  $\xi^s, s = 1, \dots, N$ , restricts via the splitting  $\tau_I$ , to a flat  $(q_I^F)^* \Omega_{D_I}^1(\log(D^I \cap$  221  
 $D_I))$ -valued  $\tau$ -connection on  $(\xi_I^F)^s = \mu_I^* \xi^s$ . 222

**Definition 4.** On  $Q$  we define the complex of sheaves

223

$$A(n) = A^n \rightarrow A^{n+1} \rightarrow \dots$$

with

224

$$\begin{aligned}
 A^i &= B^i \oplus C^i \\
 B^i &= \oplus_I (\mu_I)_* (q_I^F)^* \Omega_{D_I}^i(\log(D^I \cap D_I)), \\
 C^i &= \oplus_{I \neq \emptyset} (\mu_I)_* (q_I^F)^* \Omega_X^{i-1}(\log D)|_{D_I},
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $C^i = 0$  for  $i = n$ . The differentials  $D_\tau$  are defined as follows:  $(\oplus_I \beta_I, \oplus_I \gamma_I)$ , 225  
 where  $\beta_I \in (\mu_I)_* (q_I^F)^* \Omega_{D_I}^i(\log(D^I \cap D_I)), \gamma_I \in (\mu_I)_* (q_I^F)^* \Omega_X^{i-1}(\log D)|_{D_I}$  is 226  
 sent to 227

$$\begin{aligned}
 \oplus_I d_\tau \beta_I &\in (\mu_I)_* (q_I^F)^* \Omega_{D_I}^{i+1}(\log(D^I \cap D_I)), \\
 \oplus_I d_\tau \gamma_I + (-1)^i (\mu_I^* \beta - \beta_I) &\in (\mu_I)_* (q_I^F)^* \Omega_X^i(\log D)|_{D_I}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathcal{K}_n$  be the image of the Zariski sheaf of Milnor  $K$ -theory into Milnor 228  
 $K$ -theory  $K_n(k(X))$  of the function field (which is the same as  $\text{Ker}(K_n(k(X)) \rightarrow$  229  
 $\oplus K_{n-1}(k(x)))$  on all codimension 1 points  $x \in X$ ). The  $\tau$ -differential defines 230  
 $d_\tau \log : \mathcal{K}_n \rightarrow A^n = B^n$  ( $C^n = 0$ ). The image in  $A^n$  is  $D_\tau$ -flat. Thus this defines 231  
 $d_\tau \log : \mathcal{K}_n \rightarrow A(n)[-1]$ . 232

**Definition 5.** We define  $\mathcal{K}_n \Omega_Q^\infty$  to be the complex  $\mathcal{K}_n \xrightarrow{d_\tau \log} A(n)[-1]$  and 233

$\mathcal{K}_n \Omega_Q^\infty \supset (\mathcal{K}_n \Omega_Q^\infty)_0$  to be the subcomplex  $\mathcal{K}_n \xrightarrow{d_\tau \log} A_{D_\tau}^n$ , where  $A_{D_\tau}^n$  means the 234  
 subsheaf of  $D_\tau$ -closed sections. 235

**Lemma 6.** The  $\tau$ -connections on  $(\xi_I^F)^s$  define a class  $\xi^s(\nabla) \in \mathbb{H}^1(Q, (\mathcal{K}_1 \Omega_Q^\infty)_0)$  236  
 with the property that the image of  $\xi^s(\nabla)$  in  $H^1(Q, \mathcal{K}_1)$  is  $c_1(\xi^s)$ . 237



*Proof.* The cocycle of the class  $\xi^s(\nabla)$  results from the Claim 5. Write  $g_{\alpha\beta}^s$  for a  $\mathcal{K}_1$ -valued 1-cocycle for  $\xi^s$ . Then the flat  $\tau$ -connection on  $\xi^s$  is defined by local sections  $\omega_\alpha^s$  in  $q^*\Omega_X^1(\log D)$  which are  $d_\tau$  flat for  $d_\tau : q^*\Omega_X^1(\log D) \rightarrow q^*\Omega_X^2(\log D)$ . So the cocycle condition reads  $d_\tau \log g_{\alpha\beta}^s = \delta(\omega^s)_{\alpha\beta}$  where  $\delta$  is the Cech differential. The Claim 5 implies then that  $\mu_I^*(\omega_\alpha^s) \in (q_I^F)^*\Omega_{D_I}^1(\log(D_I \cap D^J))$ , is  $\tau$ -flat and has  $d_\tau \log \mu_I^*(g_{\alpha\beta}^s) = \delta \mu_I^*(\omega^s)_{\alpha\beta}$ . So the class  $(\xi_I^F)^s$  is defined by the Cech cocycle  $(g_{\alpha\beta}^s, \mu_I^*\omega^s \oplus 0)$ , with  $\mu_I^*\omega^s \in B^1, 0 \in C^1$ .  $\square$

We define a product

$$(\mathcal{K}_m \Omega_Q^\infty)_0 \times (\mathcal{K}_n \Omega_Q^\infty)_0 \xrightarrow{\cup} (\mathcal{K}_{m+n} \Omega_Q^\infty)_0 \quad (5)$$

by using the formulae defined in [5, Definition 2.1.1], that is

$$x \cup y = \begin{cases} \{x, y\} & x \in \mathcal{K}_m, y \in \mathcal{K}_n \\ d_\tau \log x \wedge y \oplus d_\tau \log x \wedge y & x \in \mathcal{K}_m, y \in (B^n \oplus C^n)_{D_\tau} \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

The product is well defined.

**Definition 7.** We define  $c_n(q^*(E, \nabla, \Gamma)) \in \mathbb{H}^n(Q, \mathcal{K}_n \Omega_Q^\infty)$  to be the image via the map  $\mathbb{H}^n(Q, (\mathcal{K}_n \Omega_Q^\infty)_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n(Q, \mathcal{K}_n \Omega_Q^\infty)$  of

$$\sum_{s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n} \xi^{s_1}(\nabla) \cup \dots \cup \xi^{s_n}(\nabla).$$

**Definition 8.** On  $X$  we define the complex of sheaves

$$A_X(n) = A_X^n \rightarrow A_X^{n+1} \rightarrow \dots$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} A_X^i &= B_X^i \oplus C_X^i \\ B_X^i &= \oplus_I (i_I)_* \Omega_{D_I}^i(\log(D^J \cap D_I)), \\ C_X^i &= \oplus_{I \neq \emptyset} (i_I)_* \Omega_X^{i-1}(\log D)|_{D_I}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_X^i = 0$  for  $i = n$ . The differentials  $D_X$  are defined as follows:  $(\oplus_I \beta_I, \oplus_I \gamma_I)$  where  $\beta_I \in (i_I)_* \Omega_{D_I}^i(\log(D^J \cap D_I)), \gamma_I \in (i_I)_* \Omega_X^{i-1}(\log D)|_{D_I}$  is sent to

$$\begin{aligned} \oplus_I d\beta_I &\in (i_I)_* \Omega_{D_I}^{i+1}(\log(D^J \cap D_I)), \\ \oplus_I d\gamma_I + (-1)^i (i_I^* \beta - \beta_I) &\in (i_I)_* \Omega_X^i(\log D)|_{D_I}, \end{aligned}$$

where the differentials  $d_\tau$  are the  $\tau$  differentials in the various differential graded algebras  $\Omega_{D_I}^*(\log(D^J \cap D_I))$ .

One has an injective morphism of complexes 256

$$\iota : \Omega_X^{\geq n} \rightarrow A_X^{\geq n} \quad (7)$$

sending  $\alpha \in \Omega_X^i$  to  $i_I^* \alpha \oplus 0$ . 257

**Proposition 9.** *The morphism  $\iota$  is a quasi-isomorphism. Furthermore, one has* 258  
 *$Rq_* A(n) = A_X(n)$ .* 259

*Proof.* We start with the second assertion: since  $\mu_I$  is a closed embedding, one 260  
 has  $R(\mu_I)_* = (\mu_I)_*$  on coherent sheaves. Thus by the commutativity of the dia- 261  
 gram (2), and the fact that  $\mathcal{O}$  on the flag varieties is relatively acyclic, one has 262  
 $Rq_*(R\mu_I)_*(q_I^F)^* \mathcal{E} = (i_I)_* \mathcal{E}$  for a locally free sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $D_I$ . This shows the 263  
 second statement. We show the first assertion. We first show that the 0th cohomol- 264  
 ogy sheaf of  $A_X(n)$  is  $(\Omega_X^n)_d$ . The condition  $D(\beta, \beta_I) = 0$  means  $d\beta = d\beta_I = 0$  265  
 and  $i_I^* \beta = \beta_I$ . Thus  $\beta \in \Omega_X^n$  and  $d\beta = 0$ . Assume now  $i \geq n + 1$ . Then modulo 266  
 $DA^{i-1}(n)$ ,  $((\beta, \beta_I), \gamma_I)$  is equivalent to  $((\beta, \beta_I + (-1)^{i-1} d\gamma_I), 0)$ . So we are back 267  
 to the computation as in the case  $i = n$  and  $\text{Ker}(D)$  on  $B^i \oplus 0$  is  $\text{Ker}(d)$  on  $\Omega_X^i$ . 268  
 On the other hand, by the same reason,  $D(B^{i-1} \oplus C^{i-1}) = D(B^{i-1} \oplus 0)$ , and 269  
 $D(B^{i-1} \oplus 0) \cap (B^i \oplus 0) = d(\Omega_X^i)$ . This finishes the proof. □ 270

**Proposition 10.** *The map  $q^* : AD^n(X)_\infty = \mathbb{H}^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n \xrightarrow{d \log} \Omega_X^n \xrightarrow{d} \dots \xrightarrow{d}$  271  
 $\Omega^{\dim X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n(Q, \mathcal{K}_n \Omega^\infty)$  is injective. The classes  $c_n((q^*(E, \nabla, \Gamma))) \in \mathbb{H}^n$  272  
 $(Q, \mathcal{K}_n \Omega^\infty)$  in Definition 7 are of the shape  $q^* c_n((E, \nabla, \Gamma))$  for uniquely defined 273  
 classes  $c_n((E, \nabla, \Gamma)) \in \mathbb{H}^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n \xrightarrow{d \log} \Omega_X^n \xrightarrow{d} \dots \rightarrow \Omega^{\dim X})$ . 274*

*Proof.* One has a commutative diagram of long exact sequences 275

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^{n-1}(Q, \mathcal{K}_n) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^{n-1}(A(n)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^n(\mathcal{K}_n \Omega_Q^\infty) & \longrightarrow & H^n(Q, \mathcal{K}_n) & (8) \\ \uparrow \text{inj} & & \uparrow = & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{inj} & \\ H^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^{n-1}(A(n)_X) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^n(\mathcal{K}_n \Omega_X^\infty) & \longrightarrow & H^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n) & \end{array}$$

where  $\mathcal{K}_n \Omega_X^\infty = \mathcal{K}_n \xrightarrow{d \log} \Omega_X^n \xrightarrow{d} \dots \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_X^{\dim X}$ . We write  $H^i(Q, \mathcal{K}_j) =$  276  
 $H^i(X, \mathcal{K}_j) \oplus \text{rest}$ , where the rest is divisible by the classes of powers of the 277  
 $[\xi^s] \in H^1(Q, \mathcal{K}_1)$ , with coefficients in some  $H^a(X, \mathcal{K}_b)$ . But  $[\xi^s]$  comes by 278  
 Lemma 6 from a class  $\xi^s(\nabla) \in \mathbb{H}^1(Q, (\mathcal{K}_1 \Omega_Q^\infty)_0)$ . Consequently, the image of rest 279  
 in  $\mathbb{H}^i(A(n))$  dies. We conclude that one has an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n(\mathcal{K}_n \Omega_X^\infty) \rightarrow$  280  
 $\mathbb{H}^n(\mathcal{K}_n \Omega_Q^\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n(X, R^\bullet q_* \mathcal{K}_n / q_* \mathcal{K}_n)$ . By the standard splitting principle for 281  
 Chow groups, one has  $H^n(Q, \mathcal{K}_n) / H^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n) = \mathbb{H}^n(X, R^\bullet q_* \mathcal{K}_n / q_* \mathcal{K}_n)$ , and 282

$$\sum_{s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n} c_1(\xi^{s_1}) \cup \dots \cup c_1(\xi^{s_n}) \in \text{Im}(CH^n(X) \subset CH^n(Q)).$$

By Lemma 6,  $\xi^s(\nabla) \in \mathbb{H}^1(Q, (\mathcal{K}_1\Omega^\infty)_0)$  maps to  $c_1(\xi^s) \in H^1(Q, \mathcal{K}_1)$ . Thus we conclude that  $c_n(q^*(E, \nabla, \Gamma)) \in \text{Im}(\mathbb{H}^n(\mathcal{K}_n\Omega_X^\infty) \subset \mathbb{H}^n(\mathcal{K}_n\Omega_Q^\infty))$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $X \supset U$  be a smooth (partial) compactification of a variety defined over a characteristic 0 field, such that  $D = \sum_j D_j = X \setminus U$  is a strict normal crossings divisor. Let  $(E, \nabla)$  be a flat connection with logarithmic poles along  $D$  such that its residues  $\Gamma_j$  along  $D_j$  are all nilpotent. Then the classes  $c_n((E, \nabla)) \in AD^n(X, D)$  lift to well defined classes  $c_n((E, \nabla, \Gamma)) \in AD^n(X)$ . They are functorial: if  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  with  $Y$  smooth, such that  $f^{-1}(D)$  is a normal crossings divisor, étale over its image  $\subset D$ , then  $f^*c_n((E, \nabla, \Gamma)) = c_n(f^*(E, \nabla, \Gamma))$  in  $AD^n(Y)$ . If  $D' \supset D$  is a normal crossings divisor and  $\nabla'$  is the connection  $\nabla$ , but considered with logarithmic poles along  $D'$ , thus with trivial residues along the components of  $D' \setminus D$ , then  $c_n((E, \nabla, \Gamma)) = c_n((E, \nabla', \Gamma'))$ . The classes  $c_n((E, \nabla, \Gamma))$  satisfy the Whitney product formula. In addition,  $c_n((E, \nabla, \Gamma))$  lies in the subgroup  $AD_\infty^n(X) = \mathbb{H}^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n \xrightarrow{d \log} \Omega_X^n \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_X^{n+1} \rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_X^{\dim(X)}) \subset AD^n(X)$  of classes mapping to 0 in  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^{2n})$ . The restriction to  $AD_\infty^n(D_I)$  of  $c_n((E, \nabla, \Gamma))$  is  $c_n((gr(F_I^\bullet), \nabla_I, \Gamma_I))$  where  $(gr(F_I^\bullet), \nabla_I, \Gamma_I)$  is the canonical filtration (see Claim 4 and Definition 3).*

*Proof.* The construction is the Proposition 10. We discuss functoriality. If  $f$  is as in the theorem, then the filtrations  $F_I^\bullet$  for  $(E, \nabla)$  restrict to the filtration for  $f^*(E, \nabla)$ . Whitney product formula is proven exactly as in [12, 2.17, 2.18] and [8, Theorem 1.7], even if this is more cumbersome, as we have in addition to follow the whole tower of  $F_I^\bullet$ . Finally, the last property follows immediately from the definition of  $\xi^s(\nabla)$  in Lemma 6.  $\square$

**Theorem 12.** *Assume given  $k \subset \mathbb{C}$  and  $\Gamma$  is nilpotent. Then the classes  $\hat{c}_n((E, \nabla)) \in H^{2n}((X \setminus D)_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(n))$  defined in [12], come from well defined classes  $\hat{c}_n((E, \nabla, \Gamma)) \in H^{2n-1}(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(n))$ . Furthermore  $\hat{c}_n((E, \nabla, \Gamma))$  fulfill the same functoriality, additivity, restriction, and enlargement of  $\nabla$  properties as  $c_n((E, \nabla, \Gamma)) \in AD_\infty^n(X)$ .*

*Proof.* We just have to use the regulator map  $AD^n(X) \rightarrow H^{2n-1}(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(n))$ , which is an algebra homomorphism, and which defined in [8, Theorem 1.7]. Of course we can also follow the same construction directly in the analytic category.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments** Our algebraic construction was performed independently of Deligne's  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  construction sketched above. We thank Simpson for sending us afterwards Deligne's letter. We also thank him for pointing out a mistake in an earlier version of this note. We thank Viehweg for his encouragement and for discussions on the subject, which reminded us of the discussions we had when we wrote [6, Appendix C]. This project was partially supported by the DFG Leibniz Prize.

**References**

322

1. Chern SS, Simons J (1974) Characteristic forms and geometric invariants. *Ann Math* 99:48–68 323
2. Cheeger J, Simons J (1980) Differential characters and geometric invariants. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 1167, Springer, Berlin pp 50–80 324  
325
3. Bloch S (1977) Applications of the dilogarithm function in algebraic  $K$ -theory and algebraic geometry. *International Symposium on Algebraic Geometry, Kyoto*, pp 103–114 326  
327
4. Cheeger J (1974) Invariants of flat bundles. *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians in Vancouver*, vol. 2, pp 3–6 328  
329
5. Esnault H (2000) Algebraic differential characters. *Regulators in analysis, geometry and number theory*, *Progress in mathematics*, Birkhäuser, vol. 171, pp 89–117 330  
331
6. Esnault H, Viehweg E (1986) Logarithmic de Rham complexes and vanishing theorems. *Invent math* 86:161–194 332  
333
7. Atiyah M (1956) Complex analytic connections in fiber bundles. *Trans Am Math Soc* 85:181–207 334  
335
8. Esnault H (1992) Characteristic classes of flat bundles, II. *K-Theory* 6:45–56 336
9. Iyer J, Simpson C (2007) Regulators of canonical extensions are torsion: the smooth divisor case, p 41 337  
338
10. Bloch S, Esnault H (1997) Algebraic Chern–Simons theory. *Am J Math* 119:903–952 339
11. Reznikov A (1995) All regulators of flat bundles are torsion. *Ann Math* 141(2):373–386 340
12. Esnault H (1988) Characteristic classes of flat bundles. *Topology* 27:323–352 341
13. Deligne P (1970) *Équations Différentielles à Points Singuliers Séguliers*. *Lecture Notes in mathematics* vol. 163, Springer, Berlin 342  
343
14. Esnault H (2002) Characteristic classes of flat bundles and determinant of the Gauß-Manin connection. *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Beijing*, Higher Education Press, pp 471–483 344  
345  
346

Au: Please  
provide  
citation for the  
reference [14].